High Performance Tensor Computations

Edgar Solomonik

L P. N A @CS@Illinois

Department of Computer Science University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

SPCL_Bcast(COMM_WORLD) seminar

Laboratory for Parallel Numerical Algorithms

Recent/ongoing research topics (*-covered today)

- parallel matrix computations
 - matrix factorizations
 - eigenvalue problems
 - preconditioners
- tensor computations
 - tensor decomposition*
 - sparse tensor kernels*
 - tensor completion
- simulation of quantum systems
 - tensor networks*
 - quantum chemistry*
 - quantum circuits*
- fast bilinear algorithms
 - convolution algorithms
 - tensor symmetry*







http://lpna.cs.illinois.edu

Tensor Contractions

• A tensor of order N has N modes and dimensions $s\times \dots \times s$



• Two or more tensors can be contracted together in various ways

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Matrix Multiplication} \\ c_{ij} = \Sigma_k \ a_{ik} \ b_{kj} \\ \hline \hline i \hline C \ j \end{array} = \hline i \hline A \ k \hline B \ j \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{Hadamard Product} \\ c_{ij} = a_{ij} \ b_{ij} \\ \hline \hline C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} i \hline A \ k \hline B \ j \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline \hline C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} i \hline A \ k \hline B \ j \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline \hline C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} i \hline A \ k \hline B \ j \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline \hline C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} i \hline A \ k \hline B \ j \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline \hline C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} i \hline A \ k \hline B \ j \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline I \ C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} i \hline A \ k \hline B \ j \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} i \hline A \ k \hline B \ j \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} i \hline A \ k \hline B \ j \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} i \hline A \ k \hline B \ j \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} i \hline A \ k \hline B \ j \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} i \hline A \ k \hline B \ j \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} i \hline A \ \hline B \ j \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} i \ A \ b \ j \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} i \ A \ b \ j \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} i \ A \ b \ j \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} i \ C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} i \ C \ j \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} i \ C \ j \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} i \ C \ j \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} i \ C \ j \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} i \ C \ j \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} i \ C \ j \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} i \ C \ j \end{array}$ \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} i \ C \ j \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} i \ C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} C \ j \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} C \ j \end{array} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} C \ j \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} C \ j \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} C \ j \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ j \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} C \ c \ c \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ c \ c \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} C \ c \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ c \ c \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} C \ c \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ c \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} C \ c \end{array} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ c \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} C \ c \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ c \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} C \ c \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ c \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} C \ c \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ c \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} C \ c \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ c \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} C \ c \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ c \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} C \ c \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ c \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} C \ c \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ c \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} C \ c \end{array} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ c \end{array} = \hline \begin{matrix} C \ c \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ c \end{array} = \hline \rule \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ c \end{array} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ c \end{array} = \hline \rule \end{array} \ \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ c \end{array} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ c \end{array} \end{array} \ \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ c \end{array} \ \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ c \end{array} \ \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ c \end{array} \end{array} \ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} \ \begin{array}{c} \hline C \ c \end{array}

Tensor Decompositions

 Canonical polyadic (CP) tensor decomposition¹

$$t_{ijk} = \sum_{r=1}^{R} u_{ir} v_{jr} w_{kr}$$

 1D tensor network / Matrix product state (MPS) / tensor train (TT) decomposition

$$t_{ijk} = \sum_{r} \sum_{s} u_{ir} v_{rjs} w_{sk}$$

• 2D tensor network / projected entangled pair state (PEPS)



¹T.G. Kolda and B.W. Bader, SIAM Review 2009

Tensor Network Methods



Applications

- Tensor Decompositions
 - data mining e.g., high-order clustering, typically low-rank decomposition augmented with constraints
 - model/data compression e.g., neural networks and quantum chemistry, relatively high rank needed for accuracy
 - discovery of bilinear algorithms (e.g., Strassen's algorithm for matrix multiplication), small sparse tensors with relatively high rank

Applications

- Tensor Decompositions
 - data mining e.g., high-order clustering, typically low-rank decomposition augmented with constraints
 - model/data compression e.g., neural networks and quantum chemistry, relatively high rank needed for accuracy
 - discovery of bilinear algorithms (e.g., Strassen's algorithm for matrix multiplication), small sparse tensors with relatively high rank
- Tensor Networks
 - eigenvalue and least squares problems where system of equations and vector are represented by low rank tensor networks
 - prevalent in simulation of quantum systems (spins, electrons, qubits) given Hamiltonian or quantum circuit description

Applications

- Tensor Decompositions
 - data mining e.g., high-order clustering, typically low-rank decomposition augmented with constraints
 - model/data compression e.g., neural networks and quantum chemistry, relatively high rank needed for accuracy
 - discovery of bilinear algorithms (e.g., Strassen's algorithm for matrix multiplication), small sparse tensors with relatively high rank
- Tensor Networks
 - eigenvalue and least squares problems where system of equations and vector are represented by low rank tensor networks
 - prevalent in simulation of quantum systems (spins, electrons, qubits) given Hamiltonian or quantum circuit description
- Tensor Contractions
 - prevalent within tensor network and tensor decomposition methods
 - also arise in high-accuracy quantum chemistry methods (e.g., coupled cluster), where tensors often have symmetries

Software Abstractions for Tensor Computations



CP Tensor Decomposition Algorithms

- Tensor of order N has N modes and dimensions $s\times \dots \times s$
- Canonical polyadic (CP) tensor decomposition¹



- Alternating least squares (ALS) is most widely used method
 - Optimize one factor matrix at a time, yielding quadratic optimization subproblems
 - Achieves monotonic linear convergence
- Gauss-Newton method is an emerging alternative
 - Optimizes all factor matrices at once by quadratic approximation of nonlinear objective function
 - Non-monotonic, but can achieve quadratic convergence

¹T.G. Kolda and B.W. Bader, SIAM Review 2009

Pairwise Perturbation Algorithm



New algorithm: pairwise perturbation $(PP)^1$ approximates ALS

- based on perturbative expansion of ALS update to approximate MTTKRP
- approximation is accurate when ALS updates stagnate
- rank $R < s^{N-1}$ CP decomposition:
 - ALS sweep cost $O(s^N R) \Rightarrow O(s^2 R)$, up to 33x speed-up



Linjian Ma

¹L. Ma, E.S. arXiv:1811.10573

Parallel Pairwise Perturbation Algorithm



Effective parallelization by decomposing MTTKRP into local MTTKRPs ¹

$$oldsymbol{U} = \mathsf{MTTKRP}(oldsymbol{\mathcal{T}},oldsymbol{V},oldsymbol{W}) \Rightarrow oldsymbol{U}_i = \sum_{j,k}\mathsf{MTTKRP}(oldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{ijk},oldsymbol{V}_j,oldsymbol{W}_k)$$

- processor (i,j,k) owns $oldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{ijk}$, $oldsymbol{V}_{j}$, and $oldsymbol{W}_{k}$
- pairwise perturbation can be used to approximate local MTTKRPs, reducing communication cost
- multi-sweep dimension-tree (MSDT) amortizes terms across sweeps
- ¹L. Ma, E.S. to appear on arXiv, October 2020.

LPNA

High Performance Tensor Computations

Regularization and Parallelism for Gauss-Newton



New regularization scheme¹ for Gauss-Newton CP with implicit CG²

- Oscillates regularization parameter geometrically between lower and upper thresholds
- Achieves higher convergence likelihood
- More accurate than ALS in applications
- Faster than ALS sequentially and in parallel

²P. Tichavsky, A. H. Phan, and A. Cichocki., 2013





Navjot Singh

¹Navjot Singh, Linjian Ma, Hongru Yang, and E.S. arXiv:1910.12331

Sparse Tensor Decomposition

Sparse tensor decomposition is dominated by MTTKRP

$$u_{ir} = \sum_{j,k} t_{ijk} v_{jr} w_{kr}$$

 Sparse MTTKRP can be done faster all-at-once than by contracting two tensors at a time Cyclops MTTKRP with m=1B, R=50 on 4096 Cores of Stampede2



High Performance Tensor Computations

Permutational Symmetry in Tensor Contractions



New contraction algorithms reduce cost via permutational symmetry¹

- Symmetry is hard to use in contraction e.g. $m{y}=Am{x}$ with A symmetric
- For contraction of order s + v and v + t tensors to produce an order s + t tensor, previously known approaches reduce cost by s!t!v!
- New algorithm reduces number of *products* by ω ! where $\omega = s + t + v$, leads to same reduction in *cost* for partially-symmetric contractions

$$\boldsymbol{C} = \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{B} + \boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{A} \Rightarrow c_{ij} = \sum_{k} [(a_{ij} + a_{ik} + a_{jk}) \cdot (b_{ij} + b_{ik} + b_{jk})] - \dots$$

LPNA

¹E.S, J. Demmel, CMAM 2020

Group Symmetry in Tensor Contractions



New contraction algorithm, *irreducible representation alignment* uses new reduced form to handle group symmetry (momentum conservation, spin, quantum numbers, etc.) without looping over blocks or sparsity¹



¹Y. Gao, P. Helms, G. Chan, and E.S., arXiv:2007.08056

Quantum Circuit Simulation with Tensor Networks

• A quantum circuit is a direct description of a tensor network¹



• Why use HPC to (approximately) simulate quantum circuits?

- enable development/testing/tuning of larger quantum circuits
- understand approximability of different quantum algorithms
- quantify sensitivity of algorithms to noise/error
- potentially enable new hybrid quantum-classical algorithms
- Cyclops utilized to simulate 49-qubit circuits by IBM+LLNL team via direct contraction² and by another team from via exact PEPS evolution/contraction³
- ¹Markov and Shi SIAM JC 2007
- ²Pednault et al. arXiv:1710.05867
- ³Guo et al. Phys Rev Letters, 2019

LPNA

Tensor Network State Simulation





Approximate Application of Two-Site Operators

- Consider application of a two-site operator on neighboring PEPS sites
- Simple update (QR-SVD) algorithm:



- We provide an efficient distributed implementation of QR-SVD
- This operation is an instance of what we'll refer to as einsumsvd and QR-SVD is one algorithm/implementation

Implicit Randomized einsumsvd

• The einsumsvd primitive will also enable effective algorithms for PEPS contraction



 An efficient general implementation is to leverage randomized SVD / orthogonal iteration, which iteratively computes a low-rank SVD by a matrix-matrix product that can be done implicitly via tensor contractions

PEPS Contraction

- Exact contraction of PEPS is #P-complete, so known methods have exponential cost in the number of sites
- PEPS contraction is needed to compute expectation values
- Boundary contraction is common for finite PEPS and can be simplified with einsumsvd



Koala

• We introduce a new library, Koala¹, for high-performance simulation of quantum circuits and time evolution with PEPS²

```
from koala import peps, Observable
    from tensorbackends.interface import ImplicitRandomizedSVD
 З
    # Create a 2-by-3 PEPS in distributed memory using CTF
 4
    gstate = peps.computational zeros(nrow=2, ncol=3, backend='ctf')
 6
    # Construct operators and apply them to the quantum state
 8
    Y = qstate.backend.astensor([0,-1j,1j,0]).reshape(2,2)
 9
    CX = gstate.backend.astensor([1,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,1,0,])
    CX = CX.reshape(2.2.2.2)
10
11
    gstate.applv operator(Y, [1])
    gstate.apply_operator(CX, [1,4], update_option=peps.QRUpdate(rank=2))
13
14
    # Construct an observable and calculate the expectation with IBMPS
15
    observable = Observable.ZZ(3, 4) + 0.2 * Observable.X(1)
16
17
     result = gstate.expectation(
18
         observable, use_cache=True,
         contract option=peps.BMPS(ImplicitRandomizedSVD(rank=4)).
19
20
    )
```



¹https://github.com/cyclops-community/koala

²Yuchen Pang, Tianyi Hao, Annika Dugad, Yiqing Zhou, and E.S., to appear in proceedings of SC 2020, arXiv:2006.15234.

LPNA

High Performance Tensor Computations

PEPS Benchmark Performance



- Koala achieves good parallel scalability for approximate gate application (evolution) and contraction
- Approximation can be effective even for adversarially-designed circuits such as Google's random quantum circuit model (figure on right)

PEPS Accuracy for Quantum Simulation



- ITE code achieves improvable accuracy with increased PEPS bond dimension, but approximation in PEPS contraction is not variational
- Variational quantum eigensolver (VQE), which represents a wavefunction using a parameterized circuit $U(\theta)$ and minimizes

$\left< U(\theta) \right| H \left| U(\theta) \right>,$

also achieves improvable accuracy with higher PEPS bond dimension

Automatic Differentiation for Tensor Computations

• Tensor network and tensor decomposition methods all typically based on applying Newton's method on a sequence of subsets of variables



- Automatic differentiation (AD) in principle enables automatic generation of these methods
- However, existing AD tools such as Jax (used by TensorFlow) are designed for deep learning and are ineffective for more complex tensor computations
 - these focus purely on first order optimization via Jacobian-vector products
 - unable to propagate tensor algebra identities such as $(A \otimes B)^{-1} = A^{-1} \otimes B^{-1}$ to generate efficient code

AutoHOOT: Automatic High-Order Optimization for Tensors

- AutoHOOT¹ provides a tensor-algebra centric AD engine
- Designed for einsum expressions and alternating minimization common in tensor decomposition and tensor network methods
- Python-level AD is coupled with optimization of contraction order and caching of intermediates
- Generates code for CPU/GPU/supercomputers using high-level back-end interface to tensor contractions



24 / 26

Software Abstractions for Tensor Computations



Acknowledgements

- Laboratory for Parallel Numerical Algorithms (LPNA) at University of Illinois, lpna.cs.illinois.edu and collaborators
- Funding from NSF awards: #1839204 (RAISE-TAQS), #1931258 (CSSI), #1942995 (CAREER)
- Stampede2 resources at TACC via XSEDE





http://lpna.cs.illinois.edu

High Performance Tensor Computations

Backup slides

Library for Massively-Parallel Tensor Computations

Cyclops Tensor Framework¹ sparse/dense generalized tensor algebra

- Cyclops is a C++ library that distributes each tensor over MPI
- Used in chemistry (PySCF, QChem)², quantum circuit simulation (IBM/LLNL)³, and graph analysis (betweenness centrality)⁴
- Summations and contractions specified via Einstein notation

E["aixbjy"] += X["aixbjy"] - U["abu"]*V["iju"]*W["xyu"]

- Best distributed contraction algorithm selected at runtime via models
- Support for Python (numpy.ndarray backend), OpenMP, and GPU
- Simple interface to core ScaLAPACK matrix factorization routines

¹https://github.com/cyclops-community/ctf

²E.S., D. Matthews, J. Hammond, J.F. Stanton, J. Demmel, JPDC 2014

E. Pednault, J.A. Gunnels, G. Nannicini, L. Horesh, T. Magerlein, E. S., E. Draeger, E. Holland, and R. Wisnieff, 2017
 E.S., M. Besta, F. Vella, T. Hoefler, SC 2017

Electronic structure calculations with Cyclops





compares well to NWChem (up to 10x speed-ups for CCSDT)

Faster Parallel Algorithms for Cholesky and QR



Cholesky-QR2¹ with 3D Cholesky gives a practical 3D QR algorithm²

- Compute $oldsymbol{A}=\hat{oldsymbol{Q}}oldsymbol{R}$ using Cholesky $oldsymbol{A}^Toldsymbol{A}=oldsymbol{R}^Toldsymbol{R}$
- Correct computed factorization by Cholesky-QR of \hat{Q}
- \bullet Attains full accuracy so long as $\mathsf{cond}(\boldsymbol{A}) < 1/\sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathsf{mach}}}$



Edward Hutter

¹T. Fukaya, Y. Nakatsukasa, Y. Yanagisawa, Y. Yamamoto, 2014

²E. Hutter, E.S., IPDPS 2019

Accelerating Autotuning using Critter



New tool critter accelerates autotuning by conditional execution¹

- Avoids execution of computation and communication kernels if their performance is predictable using past observations
- Leverages critical path profiling to determine needed model accuracy for each kernel



Edward Hutter

¹E. Hutter, Edgar Solomonik, to appear on arXiv