Tensor Algorithms and Libraries for Quantum Chemistry and Materials Science

Edgar Solomonik

L P. N A @CS@Illinois

Department of Computer Science University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

MoISSI Workshop on HPC in Computational Chemistry and Materials Science

Laboratory for Parallel Numerical Algorithms

Group research foci

- numerical linear algebra
- numerical optimization
- parallel algorithms
- tensor decompositions
- tensor networks
- quantum chemistry
- quantum simulation
- software automation



http://lpna.cs.illinois.edu

Outline

Introduction

- 2 Tensor Contractions
- 3 Tensor Decompositions
- 4 Automatic Differentation



Tensors

A tensor is a collection of elements

- its dimensions define the size of the collection
- its order is the number of different dimensions
- specifying an index along each tensor mode defines an element of the tensor
- A few examples of tensors are
 - Order 0 tensors are scalars, e.g., $s \in \mathbb{R}$
 - Order 1 tensors are vectors, e.g., $oldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$
 - Order 2 tensors are matrices, e.g., $oldsymbol{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m imes n}$
 - An order 3 tensor with dimensions $s_1 \times s_2 \times s_3$ is denoted as $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{s_1 \times s_2 \times s_3}$ with elements t_{ijk} for $i \in \{1, \ldots, s_1\}, j \in \{1, \ldots, s_2\}, k \in \{1, \ldots, s_3\}$



A tensor contraction describes a set of products and sums of elements from two tensors

tensor contraction	formula
inner product	$w = \sum_{i} u_i v_i$
outer product	$w_{ij} = u_i v_{ij}$
pointwise product	$w_i = u_i v_i$
Hadamard product	$w_{ij} = u_{ij}v_{ij}$
matrix multiplication	$w_{ij} = \sum_k u_{ik} v_{kj}$
batched matmul.	$w_{ijl} = \sum_k u_{ikl} v_{kjl}$
tensor times matrix	$w_{ilk} = \sum_{j} u_{ijk} v_{lj}$

Tensor contractions are prevalent in quantum chemistry methods

General Tensor Contractions

Given tensor \mathcal{U} of order s + v and \mathcal{V} of order v + t, a tensor contraction summing over v modes can be written as

$$w_{i_1...i_s j_1...j_t} = \sum_{k_1...k_v} u_{i_1...i_s k_1...k_v} v_{k_1...k_v j_1...j_t}$$

• Other contractions can be mapped to this form after transposition Unfolding tensors reduces the tensor contraction to matrix multiplication

- Combine consecutive indices in appropriate groups of size s, t, and v
- If all tensor modes are of dimension n, obtain matrix-matrix product C = AB where $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n^s \times n^t}$, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n^s \times n^v}$, and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n^v \times n^t}$
- Assuming classical matrix multiplication, contraction requires n^{s+t+v} elementwise products and $n^{s+t+v}-n^{s+t}$ additions

Symmetric Tensor Contractions

- A symmetric tensor is defined by e.g., $t_{ijk} = t_{ikj} = t_{kij} = t_{jki} = t_{jik} = t_{kji}$
- Tensors can also have skew-symmetry (also known as antisymmetry, permutations have +/- signs), partial symmetry (only some modes are permutable), or group symmetry (blocks are zero if indices satisfy modular equation)
- The simplest example of a symmetric tensor contraction is

$$\boldsymbol{y} = \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}$$
 where $\boldsymbol{A} = \boldsymbol{A}^T$

it is not obvious how to leverage symmetry to reduce cost of this contraction

Permutational Symmetry in Tensor Contractions



New contraction algorithms reduce cost via permutational symmetry¹

- Symmetry is hard to use in contraction e.g. $m{y}=Am{x}$ with A symmetric
- For contraction of order s + v and v + t tensors to produce an order s + t tensor, previously known approaches reduce cost by s!t!v!
- New algorithm reduces number of *products* by ω ! where $\omega = s + t + v$, leads to same reduction in *cost* for partially-symmetric contractions

$$\boldsymbol{C} = \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{B} + \boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{A} \Rightarrow c_{ij} = \sum_{k} [(a_{ij} + a_{ik} + a_{jk}) \cdot (b_{ij} + b_{ik} + b_{jk})] - \dots$$

LPNA

Tensor Algorithms and Software

¹E.S, J. Demmel, <u>Computational Methods in Applied Mathematics 2020</u>

Communication Cost of Symmetry Preserving Algorithms

- Preserving symmetry reduces memory footprint and cost, but can entail additional data dependencies and communication cost
- We have introduced a framework of communication lower bounds for bilinear algorithms¹ and applied it to symmetric tensor contractions^{2,3}
- These lower bounds show that asymptotically more communication is necessitated by both symmetric packed layouts and symmetry preserving contraction algorithms
- However, the overheads are present only for sophisticated tensor contractions (high-order and with different number of contracted/uncontracted modes)

¹V. Pan, SIAM Review 1984

²E.S., J. Demmel, T. Hoefler, SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 2021

³C. Ju, Y. Zhang, E.S., arXiv:2107.09834

Group Symmetry

 Abelian group symmetries can be mapped to the cyclic group, which can be used to define a block-sparse form of the tensors (here represented using extra modes), e.g.,

$$w_{aA,bB,iI,jJ} = \sum_{k,K,l,L} u_{aA,bB,kK,lL} v_{kK,lL,iI,jJ}$$

where for some group size G, we have symmetries, e.g.,

$$\begin{split} & w_{aA,bB,iI,jJ} \neq 0 \text{ if } A + B - I - J \equiv 0 \pmod{G} \\ & u_{aA,bB,kK,lL} \neq 0 \text{ if } A + B + K + L \equiv 0 \pmod{G} \\ & v_{kK,lL,iI,jJ} \neq 0 \text{ if } K + L - I - J \equiv 0 \pmod{G} \end{split}$$

• We can write each of these tensors using a reduced form and a Kronecker delta tensor,

$$w_{aA,bB,iI,jJ} = r_{aA,bB,iI,j}^{(W)} \delta_{ABIJ}^{(W)}$$

where $\delta_{ABIJ}^{(W)} = 1$ if $A + B - I - J \equiv 0 \pmod{G}$ and $\delta_{ABIJ}^{(W)} = 0$
otherwise

Block Contraction Approach to Group Symmetry

Such symmetries are often handled by indirect indexing in nested loops

Algorithm 2.1 Loop nest to perform group symmetric contraction $w_{aA,bB,iI,jJ} = \sum_{k,K,l,L} u_{aA,bB,kK,lL} v_{kK,lL,iI,jJ}$ using standard reduced forms $\bar{w}_{aA,bB,iI,j}$, $\bar{u}_{aA,bB,kK,l}$, and $\bar{v}_{kK,lL,iI,j}$.

```
for A = 1, ..., G do

for B = 1, ..., G do

for I = 1, ..., G do

J = A + B - I \mod G

for K = 1, ..., G do

L = A + B - K \mod G

\forall a, b, i, j, \quad \overline{w}_{aA, bB, iI, j} = \overline{w}_{aA, bB, iI, j} + \sum_{k, l} \overline{u}_{aA, bB, kK, l} \overline{v}_{kK, lL, iI, j}

end for

end for

end for

end for
```

However, transformations of tensors are also possible to reduce such contractions to a "direct product", which has previously been done for group symmetric tensor contractions in quantum chemistry^{1,2}

¹J.F. Stanton, J. Gauss, J.D. Watts, and R.J. Bartlett, The Journal of Chemical Physics 1991

²D. Matthews, Molecular Physics 2019

Group Symmetry in Tensor Contractions

New contraction algorithm, *irreducible representation alignment* uses new reduced form to handle group symmetry (momentum conservation, spin, quantum numbers, etc.) without looping over blocks or sparsity¹

$$w_{ABIJ} = \sum_{KL} \bar{r}_{ABK}^{(U)} \underbrace{\delta_{ABKL}^{(U)} \delta_{KLIJ}^{(V)}}_{\sum_{Q} \delta_{ABQ}^{(1)} \delta_{IJQ}^{(2)} \delta_{KLQ}^{(3)}} \bar{r}_{KIJ}^{(V)} = \sum_{Q} \delta_{ABQ}^{(1)} \delta_{IJQ}^{(3)} \underbrace{\sum_{K} r_{AKQ}^{(U)} r_{KIQ}^{(V)}}_{r_{AIQ}^{(W)}}$$



¹Y. Gao, P. Helms, G. Chan, and E.S., arXiv:2007.08056

Automation of Group Symmetric Contractions



- Group symmetric tensors represented programmatically by
 - a dense reduced tensor (containing unique data)
 - an implicit sparse tensor (Kronecker delta tensor) describing the group symmetry
- At contraction time reduced form are aligned by contraction with Kronecker delta tensor (Q index is introduced)
- Users can write symmetry-oblivious code

Library for Massively-Parallel Tensor Computations

Cyclops Tensor Framework¹: sparse/dense generalized tensor algebra

- $\bullet\,$ Cyclops is a C++ library that distributes each tensor over MPI
- Used in chemistry (PySCF, QChem, CC4S)², quantum circuit simulation (by IBM/LLNL)³, and graph analysis (betweenness centrality⁴, minimum spanning tree⁵)
- Summations and contractions specified via Einstein notation
 E["aixbjy"] += X["aixbjy"] U["abu"]*V["iju"]*W["xyu"]
- Best distributed contraction algorithm selected at runtime via models
- Support for Python (numpy.ndarray backend), OpenMP, and GPU
- Simple interface to core ScaLAPACK matrix factorization routines

¹https://github.com/cyclops-community/ctf

- ⁴E.S., M. Besta, F. Vella, T. Hoefler, SC 2017
- ⁵T. Baer, R. Kanakagiri, E.S., SIAM PP 2022

LPNA

Tensor Algorithms and Software

²E.S., D. Matthews, J. Hammond, J.F. Stanton, J. Demmel, JPDC 2014

³E. Pednault, J.A. Gunnels, G. Nannicini, L. Horesh, T. Magerlein, E.S., E. Draeger, E. Holland, and R. Wisnieff, 2017

CP Decomposition



• For a tensor $T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n}$, the CP decomposition^{1,2} is defined by matrices U, V, and W such that

$$t_{ijk} = \sum_{r=1}^{R} u_{ir} v_{jr} w_{kr}$$

¹F.L. Hitchcock, Studies in Applied Mathematices 1927

²T. Kolda and B. Bader, SIAM Review 2009

CP Decomposition for Tensor Hypercontraction

• The cost of CCSD can be reduced to ${\cal O}(n^5)$ by density fitting, which is a truncated Cholesky decomposition of the ERI tensor

$$(ab|ij) = \sum_{p} d_{abp} d^*_{ijp}$$

• The tensor hypercontraction method factorizes the density fitting tensor as

$$d_{ijp} = \sum_{r} x_{ir} x_{jr} y_{pr}$$

which is a *canonical polyadic* (*CP*) *decomposition* with a repeating factor matrix X

 \bullet When this factorization is also applied to the amplitude tensor, CCSD scaling can be theoretically further reduced to $O(n^4)$

Tucker Decomposition



- The Tucker decomposition¹ expresses an order *d* tensor via a smaller order *d* core tensor and *d* factor matrices
 - For a tensor $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n}$, the Tucker decomposition is defined by core tensor $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathbb{R}^{R_1 \times R_2 \times R_3}$ and factor matrices U, V, and W with orthonormal columns, such that

$$t_{ijk} = \sum_{p=1}^{R_1} \sum_{q=1}^{R_2} \sum_{r=1}^{R_3} z_{pqr} u_{ip} v_{jq} w_{kr}$$

- If an exact Tucker decomposition exists, it can be computed via SVD (HoSVD)
- HOOI method optimizes in an alternating manner among $(U, \mathcal{Z}),$ $(V, \mathcal{Z}),$ (W, \mathcal{Z})

¹T. Kolda and B. Bader, SIAM Review 2009

Recent Work on Tensor Decompositions

Our group has a number of recent developments in algorithms and parallel software for tensor decomposition optimization algorithms

- Navjot Singh, Linjian Ma, Hongru Yang, and ES. *Comparison of accuracy and scalability of Gauss-Newton and alternating least squares for CP decomposition*, arXiv:1910.12331 (SISC 2021).
- Linjian Ma and ES. Accelerating alternating least squares for tensor decomposition by pairwise perturbation, arXiv:1811.10573 (NLAA 2022).
- Linjian Ma and ES. *Efficient parallel CP decomposition with pairwise perturbation and multi-sweep dimension tree*, arXiv:2010.12056 (IPDPS 2021).
- Linjian Ma and ES. *Fast and accurate randomized algorithms for low-rank tensor decompositions*, arxiv.org:2104.0110 (NeurIPS 2021).

Tensor Completion

- The tensor completion problem seeks to build a model (e.g., CP decomposition) for a partially-observed tensor
- For an order three tensor $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n}$, given a set of observed entries t_{ijk} for $(i, j, k) \in \Omega$, we seek to minimize

$$\sum_{(i,j,k)\in\Omega} \underbrace{(t_{ijk} - \sum_{r} u_{ir}v_{jr}w_{kr})^2}_{\text{loss function}} + \lambda^2 (\|\boldsymbol{U}\|_2^2 + \|\boldsymbol{V}\|_2^2 + \|\boldsymbol{W}\|_2^2)$$

- Completion objective differs from decomposition of a sparse tensor, as it excludes unobserved entries
- Other loss functions than quadratic loss are often interest for different tensor data

Tensor Completion



- Via the Cyclops Python interface, we have implemented parallel (over MPI) completion with SGD, CCD, ALS (with iterative and direct solves), and Gauss-Newton, with support for generalized loss¹
- Tensor times tensor product (TTTP) routine enables CP tensor completion

$$r_{ijk} = \sum t_{ijk} u_{ir} v_{jr} w_{kr}$$

• For ALS, explicit parallel direct ^r solves² are fastest

²S. Smith, J. Park, and G. Karypis, SC 2016

LPNA

¹N. Singh, Z. Zhang, X. Wu, N. Zhang, S. Zhang, and E.S., arXiv:1910.02371

All-at-once Contraction

- Contraction of more 3 or more tensors may be performed by contracting two tensors at a time
- This approach is often suboptimal in the presence of sparsity
- Customized routines have been developed as a result for various specific multi-tensor contractions: MTTKRP, SDDMM, TTTP, TTMc
- Further challenges are posed by needing to form and solve linear least squares problems on the fly, as needed by tensor completion and quasi-robust density fitting¹
- We are working toward general all-at-once multi-tensor contraction routines and on-the-fly linear solvers as part of Cyclops

¹D.P. Tew, The Journal of Chemical Physics 2018

- Automatic differentiation (AD) in principle enables automatic generation of methods such as ALS and DMRG
- Both apply Newton's method on a sequence of subsets of variables
- However, existing AD tools such as Jax (used by TensorFlow) are designed for deep learning and are ineffective for more complex tensor computations
 - focus purely on first order optimization via Jacobian-vector products
 - unable to propagate tensor algebra identities such as $(A \otimes B)^{-1} = A^{-1} \otimes B^{-1}$ to generate efficient code

Automatic High-Order Optimization for Tensors

- AutoHOOT¹ provides a tensor-algebra centric AD engine
- Designed for einsum expressions and alternating minimization common in tensor decomposition and tensor network methods
- Python-level AD is coupled with optimization of contraction order and caching of intermediates
- Generates code for CPU/GPU/supercomputers using high-level back-end interface to tensor contractions



¹Linjian Ma, Jiayu Ye, and E.S., arXiv:2005.04540, PACT 2020

LPNA

Tensor Algorithms and Software

Further References

- We have presented innovations to numerical algorithms and software libraries for tensor contractions, decompositions, and tensor networks
- All software libraries and results discussed in this presentation are available in open source via https://github.com/cyclops-community/ and https://github.com/LinjianMa/AutoHOOT
- Our research group is developing an ecosystem of algorithms and software for quantum chemistry calculations and other applications in quantum simulation
- See our group website¹ for further details/references

http://lpna.cs.illinois.edu

Acknowledgements

- Laboratory for Parallel Numerical Algorithms (LPNA) at University of Illinois, lpna.cs.illinois.edu and collaborators
- Funding from NSF awards: #1839204 (RAISE-TAQS), #1931258 (CSSI), #1942995 (CAREER)
- Stampede2 resources at TACC via XSEDE



http://lpna.cs.illinois.edu